G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1924.

(MW2)

File No 622

Serial Nos

1-9

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 22

SUBJECT.

Issue of Tilaka on the Mulavars and minor devotees attached to the Sri Gunjanarasimhaswamii temple at Tirumakudlu, T. Narasipur Taluk

File

21.3.22

5342 9/16-3-2.

Return

WD 1888—GPB—16,926—28-7-21

G. R. S 11] 1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inungai)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Use of "Tilaka" on the Mulavaru and other minor deities attached to Sri Junjanarasimha Swami Temple at Trimakodlu—Narasipur.

From

The Private Secretary to H. H. The Maharaja.

No. 6473 Dated 15-6-1915 Received

Diary No.	Enclos. —	Spare Copies. —	Serial No.
2090			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal memorial from Krishnaiengar and other Tengala residents of T. Narasipura praying that the Vadagalas should not be permitted to use their caste-mark on Mulavaru and some minor deities attached to Sri Junjanarasimha Swami Temple etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Submd. with a Dft. forwarding the petition for disposal.

(MMS)
9.7.1915.

2. The petrs say that Mulavaru has only a tilaka which is said to have

GPB—20,000—8-8-11

Serial No.

been observed by the Agl. Dept. the late Murray Dept & other species, & that a Vadagalai naman was a later introduction.

3 a report on the points alleged may if approved be called for.

Approved?

SG
9.7.15

4. I am not sure this is a new matter at all. A ref^e is made to a previous visit and note by the late Mysore Secretary. Put up if there are reports

Ker
22.7.15

A.V

5. The Dy Comm^r's report on the subject has been received (vide Letter No. 25 Dy 12.7.1915 in the linked file).

6. The case will be worked up and submitted to the U. Secretary for orders.

Y C.

AV(94)
30.7.15.

7/8/15

7 This file has nothing to do with the report ref^d to which relates to Naman on Raja palace grounds.

~~8~~ 8. Some draft & D^o comm^l Dept. endorsement to parties

Ker
12/11/15

A.V

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4 15

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 622 of 1914.

Serial No.

1. Submd. with a Dft. endorsement.
NW(95)
13-11-1915

2. No: 1376 dt 3-12-15, to Mysore, for the above being furnished report.
No 1377 dt 3-12-15, to Bellary, the above being furnished report.

3. From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Dist Letter no 57 dt 13-6-1918 submits report called for in Serial 2.

10. The Sub Divl Officer's report no. 211 dt 16th August 1917, and the Dy. Comm's letter under reference explain the facts of the case fully. A Dft. calling for the original patta of 1874, relating to Hanumanna is submd. for appl.-

AU 10-6-1918

May not this be reserved for personal inspection by the Secy?

11-6-18

Draft may be approved. I do not think personal inspection is required in this case.

Secy)

12-6-18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GRB—20,000—30-6-15 (2)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 622 of 1914.

Serial No. 4.

No. 3498 Dt. 17.6.18 To D.C. Nilgiris Calls for a duly authenticated Copy of the patta of Namams said to have been prepared in 1874.

3-7 165. From the Dy Commr, Nilgiris Dist. Letter no 113 Dy 2-1-1915 Reports that the Patta of Namams prepared in 1874 is not available in the Temple records.

13 The D.C's Letter Dy 11-4-1918 Explains the facts of the case.

Submitted for orders.

17/1/1915 14. The Dy Smr. in his letter no. 57 Dy 13.4.18 while submg a report in the case, said paras 3 thereof that the Vadagalai had "proved their claim to use "their namam from a memory of "more than 40 years". The amildar also refers to the Patta of 1874.

15. But when a copy of it is called for, it seems strange that the patta is said to be not available in the temple records.

16. In the absence of any specific

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 622 of 1914

Serial No.

authority for the use of either kind of names in the deity, the opinion of the Mahomedan Officer that a 'tilaka' may be used, seems worthy of consideration. (Vide para 3 of G.O. No. 2933-3/Mar 427-10-5 of 30.5.12).

K L

18/11/19

24/1/19

Secy)

17) The Patta of 1876 is perhaps inaccurate in the recitals. See if this can be checked.

18) Also write to the Collr. that as no prosecution is asked for the missing silver Patta which was apparently considered at the enquiry and referred to by the Commissr.

19) The order of 1912 is not applicable as it concerned the Saiva Matha. There is no clear proof either way of hereditariness and the S.D.O is the latter seriously. It means that all hereditary trustees from Mayavaram temples

hs 13.5.19

KS. 13/5/19.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1121

G. R S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 622 of 1914.

Serial No.

20) A Offt. to the Dy. Commr, Co Salwin for approval. After this, the information will be obtained from the Vern. records of the Sadr Amin Salwin.

M 14/5-14 SH 16/5/14

21) Appd. Hyn. 28 16/5/14.

22) To the Vernacular Records

Kindly produce such papers, if any, available on the subject at a very early date.

SH 21/5/14

23) A search was made for the Patta of 1874 regarding Kamans amongst the Agra Khirtha Mahajan & other general files, kept separately in the Ver Records and the same was not found.

From the pencil memo of the late Record Keeper Mr A. Rajapur Dt 1-10-1903 it is seen that there was a requisition for some papers bearing on the subject and a reply sent by the Muzpur Branch. It is not known what were sent and what not. With this no

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G R S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 622 of 1914 7)

Serial No.

a copy of a Firman said to have been issued from the late Highness Sree Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur on the 5th September 1814 to the Amildars of Attikuppe Mahakoli on the same subject, original of which said to have been received in this Office with a letter from the Collr. Ashtagram Division in July 1841. But this letter & the reply are not available in the Ver Records. There is also a slip in Mahratti dy 26-7-1841 to show that no entry in the said Firman is to be found in the Shah Amani records preserved in this Office.

Though these papers do not help the disposal of the present question, yet they have been put up for reference and kind return when done with.

28/5/19.

24) The Firman quoted by the Revenue Authorities relates to the Melkote Temple. There are no refs relating to the idols in the Tirunarayana Temple. The Firman quoted by the Revenue records may be ret'd, and the reply from the Dy Com'r, Mysore Dt, awaited.

29.5.19

25) Submitted with reference to orders on page 5.

29/5/19.

Secy)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

S. III-2]

17459

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mysz | File No. 622 of 1914

Serial No.	
	26) Yes. Await reply for Dr court Send printed reminder Secy 4.6.19 N.B. 4/6/19.
7	From, the Dy. Comr, Mysore Dist. Letter no 677 dy 4.5.21. Submits an ad interim report stating that he will submit in due course on receipt from the Sub Divl Offr, an authenticated copy of the Ramada Patti rcpd for, and that the original Ramada Patti could not be traced in the Temple Records 27) The promised receipt of the Patti from the Dy. Comr to be received.
	23/9/21 28) Wait till 8 October 921 N 24/9/21
8	From the Dy: Comr, Mysore Dtt, Letter no. 677 dy 18.19 dy 27.10.21. Submits an authenticated copy of the patty of Ramana received

2 1602

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the Amildar of the Narsipur Taluk.

29) As promised in his previous letter, the Dy: Comr has sent an authenticated copy of the patty of namams obtained by the Amildar from ~~Upadh~~ Upadhyaya Narasimhachar. The original does not appear to have been available even in 1908, as will be seen from the endorsement made on the patte in Augt 1908.

30) It will be seen from the patti that the Moola Devaru had "Vadagale namam" on the forehead; that ~~most~~ the Utsava murti had Tilakam; and that most of the other deities, Bhakta vigrahas & Vahanams also wore Vadakalai namam.

31) It will be seen from the correspondence that Krishniengar & some other Tenkalais having memorialized to H.H in 1913 that Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami (Moolavaru) and other deities should not have Vadakalai namam, a report was called for from the Dy: Comr.

32) The Dy: Comr's report is contained in Sl. 3.

Submd for orders.

SD 12/12/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 12 of 191

Serial No.

Please send out
from the Magistrate
a Report as to
who was found dying, when he
recently forced the search
of the Supt's office

References Submitted.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mu, File No. 682 of 19 14

Serial No.

The prayer of the petitioners is that the Vadagalays should not be allowed to put the Vadagale mark on the Moola Vigraha and some other Vigrahas in the temple of Gunja Narasimhaswami at Narsipur and that only Tilakams should be put on them.

A list prepared in 1874, of which an authenticated copy has been produced, the original not being traceable, shows that the Moola Vigraha and every other Vigraha in the temple, with the exception of the Utsava Vigraha which was bearing a Tilakam, had Vadagale marks on them. It is contended by petitioners who are Thenkalays that this Patti is incorrect for while the Moolavaru has a Tilaka engraved on its forehead, the Patti shows that it had a Vadagalay mark. Even if it be assumed that there is an engraved mark and that it is a Tilakam, the Panchayatdars who ~~took part in the execution of the~~ have signed the mahazar ~~said~~ only a Vadagalai mark evidently a silver mark stitched to the forehead with a bit of wax. It is highly probable that the Patti of 1874 is quite a credible document, for the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has shown in the statement furnished by him with his letter No.560 dated 26th September 1891, that none of the Deities in the Gunja Nara-

NOTE—Contn sheet.

R S II. 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz File No. 622 of 1914

Serial No.

Narasimhaswami temple at T.Narsipur had a Tenkale mark. If none of them had Tenkale marks, they must have had Vadakalai marks or Tilakams. There being no reason to think that an incorrect record was made in 1874, the proper conclusion to be arrived at is that the entries made in that year are correct. If a deity did not bear a Tenkale mark the probabilities are that it had a Vadakalai mark, because the use of Tilakams is not very common. Whatever may be the marks engraved on the stone images, all of them with the exception of the Utsavars were noticed by the Panchayatdars to be wearing only Vadakalai Namams in 1874, and this state of things has continued since.

The only evidence available (viz., the Patti of 1874 and the statement forwarded by the Deputy Commissioner in 1901) clearly shows that every Deity with the exception of the Utsava Vigraha, had a Vadakalai mark on. The use of a Tilaka can be ordered only when there is no clear proof of either the Vadakalai or the Tenkalai Namam having been used (Page 126, Muzrai Manual) but in this case there is clear documentary evidence to show that only the Utsavars had a Tilaka and that all the other Vigrahas were bearing Vadakalai marks. There is therefore no reason for directing that Tilakams

NOTE—Contn sheet.

1423

13

F. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mrs File No. 622 of 19 14

Serial No.

should be used on every one of the Vigrahas other than the Utsavaru. No case for an interference with a state of things which has existed at least since 1874 is called for.

Petitioners ought to be informed accordingly, but it is doubtful if any such endorsement should now issue, seeing that it is more than eight years since their petition was received. B

Perhaps the matter may be dropped for the present and the record returned to the Deputy Commissioner. If petitioners should send a reminder which they have not done till now, an endorsement in the terms detailed above may be sent. A

Y. Ramachandra Rao

4766-180
11.3.22

A. approved. B. Who is responsible for this delay in disposal? Surely not the petitioners merely because they have not worried us forth with reminders. The delay was due to the protracted correspondence between the D.C. & the Mysore Offices. In Extraordinary disposals such cases get defence One can certainly marvel at it!

Rao
14.3.22

14/14/7/22

NOTE—Contn sheet.

1662/1923

G R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 622 of 1914

Serial No.

Dpty. Secretary

15.3.22. 15/3/22

Issue a reminder with the explanation called for by the Member-in-charge 15/3/22

I See Dy. Comm., Nagpur's U.O. dated 7th Jan 22 forwarding the memorials referred to in his Rev. Dept. letter no. 677 dt. 27.10.21.

I In the matter of delay, a list of reminders (both routine and D.O.) issued from this Secty is submitted. It will be seen that under Secty's orders, timely reminders were being issued from this Office to the Dy. Comrs. for orders to expedite the submission of the reports in the matter.

17.3.22. 17/3/22

Issued reminder

17/3/22

R.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G R S [II-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.	
4884-73 20.3.22	Secy. The file is one of 1914 but Reminders here issued only in 1919, '20 - & '21! This is such much of an explanation. Weel 21.3.22 W/21/3/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

No. 6473
1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 15th June 1915

DIARY NO 2090

VII

10/6/15
SECTION Jud 2
FILE No. Lv-11
SERIAL No.

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: VII), Bangalore for disposal

No. 1174 of 14-15

By Order,

~~I.C.S.~~,
Private Secretary
to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

GBPM—200—23-4-15

17

GOVT. OF H H THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GENERAL SECTION

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

1376 Enq D 3/12/15
Mus 622-14-2 Memorial

2090 10.6.15
addressed to H.H. the Maharaja by Krishnaiyar and other Tengale residents of Narasipur praying that the Vadagales should not be allowed to use their "nama" on mulavu and some minor deities attached to Sri Sunja-narasimha Swami Temple at the place, and that a Tilaka may be used on them as per Standing order etc

Dy. Commr,
Mysore Dist, for a Report on the several points alleged in the petition.

12/11/15

Dist

Sen 9/12

9.7.15

Dist
2-12-15

12. 1915

18

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....

.....

.....

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು,

....191 .

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.

Rev. Dept.

25-11-15

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

Mysore District.

Mysore, dated the 11th April 1918.

From

T. Chokkanna, Esq., B.A.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No.1376/Muz. 622-14-2 dated 3rd December 1915 forwarding for report petition from Krishnaiengar and other residents of T. Narasipur praying that the Vadagale Srivaishnavas of the place should not be allowed to use their mark on the Mulavarce and some minor deities in the Sri Gunjanarasimhaswami temple at T. Narasipur and only a Tilaka may be used instead, I have the honour to submit herewith correspondence ending with Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's yadi No.211 dated 16th August 1917, and to state as follows:-

2. From the records it will be seen that the Vadagales pray that they may be allowed to continue the use of their mark on the strength of a patti prepared so far back as 1874. It would appear that a contention arose even so early as then between the Srivaishnavas of both persuasions and that a sort of panchayat was convened at which representations of both the sections made and drew up a patti shewing the various deities in the temple bearing Vadagale mark on their foreheads. It would appear that in some instances, the silver mark had no reference to the engraved mark on the forehead of the stone deity. Shanbhog Narasimiya and others of the temple, who do not belong to either persuasions bear out that this patti represented the actual state of affairs at the time of the panchayat.

3. The Amildar is stated to have got the idols thoroughly examined in the presence of both parties and to have taken impressions in wax of the mark actually engraved on the foreheads of these deities. It transpired that a stone image had only the Tilaka engraved thereon and that the silver nama was attached to the forehead of the deity with the help of some wax. The Amildar, on the strength of all the investigations held, reports that the Vadagales may be allowed to use their nama as per the patti of 1874.

C

4. Mr. Rayaloo Chetty, the then Sub Division Officer at Nanjangud, reports that the patti in question has not the authority of Government sanction, the grant of any such permission to the Vadagale people might possibly give rise to frequent disputes between the two branches of the Srivaishnava people and to prevent which he recommends that a Tilaka only might be ordered to be used on the foreheads of the deities in question as has been ordered in the temples at Melkote, Mysore, Seringapatam and other places.

B

5. I could say that the Vadagale people have proved claim to use their namas from a mamool of more than 40 years ago. I refrain to make any specific recommendation on the ground that being a Vadagale Srivaishnava myself, any recommendation from me might be misconstrued by either side.

6. Early orders of Government are requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MPH.

G R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... Calls for the original patti of Nanaarum prepared in 1874, relating to Sri Jeeyapaswami Simha Vahani temple at D. Narasipur.

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

Section
File No. 622-14.
Serial No. 4.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191 .

No. 3498/Rev. 1 & 2.

Date of issue..... 17.6.1918.

Signed by..... Asst. to Sub-Asst. Secy.

To

The Dy. Commr.,
Mysore Dist.,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. SJ Dy 13th April 1918 reporting on the petition of Krishniengar and certain others praying that the Vadagale Srivaishnavas of D. Narasipur should not be allowed to use their mark on the nulavaru and some minor deities in the Sri

over.

E.P. 14/7

Gunja Narasimha Swami
temple at the place, I am
directed to request that you
will be so good as to send
up for reference, ~~the original~~
patti of Vamana, prepared
in 1874; ~~a duly authenticated copy~~

obtain from the temple record
a duly authenticated copy of the
said to have been

(2) The record are herewith
returned for ref. and re-turn

I have etc.
Sd.
12.6.18
Joint Secy,
Muz. Dept

DDJ
11/6/18
11/6/18

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D86- GPB -60,000-15 11 16

W.D. 0241—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.

19489
1918-19

DIARY No 11.24
18-19
Mysr

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt.,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 27th December 1918.

Despatched 28 January 1919.

Received 29 January 1919.

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits a report re the Patti of Namams said to have been prepared in the year 1874 in respect of the deities of Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple at T.Narasipur.

22

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 27th December 1918.

2 4 January 19.

From

T. Chokkanna, Esquire, B.A.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District, Mysore.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.3498/Muz. 622-14-4 dated 17th June 1918 requesting to send for reference a duly authenticated copy of the Patti of Namams said to have been prepared in 1874 in respect of the dasties in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple at T.Narasipur, I have the honor to state that the Amildar reports that the Patti is not available in the temple records.

The vernacular file of correspondence ending with the Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's No.A.C.113 dated 31st October 1918 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Ramachandra Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

AB

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

16

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

Section .....

File No. 1 1 2 19

Serial No. 6.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the 191 .

No. 3605 Ser V. fde

Date of issue 20.5.19

To

The Dy. Commr

Ryagada Dist;

Signed by Jur. M Sadasivarao

Sir,

Adverting to Correspondence ending with your letter no. 113 dy 2nd January 1919 reporting that the patti of Nawagam Said to have been prepared in the year 1874 in respect of the deities of Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami Temple at Jaypur is not

over.

available in the Temple
record, I am directed to request
that you will be so good as
to obtain and send up, at
a very early date, the opinion
of the officers concerned
for the mining of the patti
which was apparently available
to the enquiring Amb^r, referred
to by the Minister.
(2) The records are herewith
returned for ref. & return

I have &c.

Secretary,
Mines Dept.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD86—GPB 60,000—15 11 16

10211

1163

21 22
Inspn
622-14
7

1921

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received 28 SEP 1921

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Dated, Mysore the 2nd September 1921.

From

S.Hiriannaiya,Esq. M.A. B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer,Esq. B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No.3605 Muz.622-14-6 dated 20th May 1919 re the missing of the patti of Namams said to have been prepared in the year 1874, in respect of the dieties of the Sri Gunja Narasimha Swamy temple at T.Narasipur, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of T.Narasipur taluk, after some correspondence, reports in his No 23 dated 29th August 1921 that a careful search was made in the taluk and temple records once again and the Namada patti in question could not be traced.

He further reports that on a reference to the records it is seen that on 10th August 1908, when the question of putting on namam, on the Dhwaja Pata came up before the then Amildar, Mr. Navarathana Rama Rao, an authenticated copy of the Namada patti referred to above, was produced by one Upadya Narasimhachar. This patti was, it is stated in the taluk office till 28th April 1917 when it was returned to the man who produced it, on application made therefor on 7th July 1916.

The Amildar reports that this might be the patti referred to by the then Amildar during enquiry

I have anyhow issued instructions to the Amildar to obtain from Upadhya Narasimha Char referred to above, the authenticated copy of the patti said to have been returned to him on 28th April 1917, and submit the same to this office within one week. Immediately after, it is received, It will be sent on to you for reference as called

called for in your letter No.3498 Muz.622-14-4 dated 17th June 1918.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

15522

1921-22.22

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
622—14
8

To The Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja
of Mysore, Mysore Departments
Bangalore.

Dated 26th October 1921.

Despatched 27th October 1921.

Received 29 OCT 1921

General No. Ref. No. 677 of 1919

No.

Enclosure No. Two files.

Submits herewith the authenticated copy of the petty of Kanakans of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswamy temple of Nanjan

Rfd No. 677/1819

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

From

Dated, Mysore, 26th Oct. 21

S. Hiriaimaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Nazrai Department, Bangalore.

1163
M 7522-14
8-5

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.A.O.677-18-19 dated 19th September 1921, I have the honor to submit herewith the authenticated copy of the patty of namams received with the correspondence ending with T.Narasipur taluk Amildar's report No.61 dated 14th October 1921 as called for in your letter No.5198 Nuz.622-12-4 dated 17th June 1918.

The vernacular records received with your letter No.3605 Nuz.622-14-6 dated 20th May 1919 are also returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Narayanaiya

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

VI—G/52.

24

SUBJECT ... .

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue ———

Signed by ———

Section Mng

File No. 622-14

Serial No 9

No. 2012

To The Dy. Comr,

Mysore Dist.

Sir,

I am directed ~~to return~~ ~~I am directed to return~~

to return herewith the ~~letter~~ Verne record received with your correspondence ending with your letter no. 677 Dy 23-10-21 relating to the complaint of the Sengalars of Nanabpur that Vadagalai Namam should not be allowed to

over.

Swami and Some-
Letters at I have the honour; Sam directed to
I have etc, that for the
Consider
11/5/22 -
DD that
Inuz-Peere Land - it made out for
86 An interference with
15/3/22 with a State of the
which have existed
at least since 1874.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

23

Muy E. H.
5 NOV 1920
291

[illegible]

[illegible]

30-10-20

[illegible] 3.11.20

Place w/ file 622-14

This may be placed on the con. file 622-14 in which a rem. is under issue.

Bly
17.11.20

CMK
17-11-20

Sec. - place this in the con. file please

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Names of vigrahas referred to in the Palli.	The Namam which it bears according to the palli of 1874.
1) Two Dwarapalakas in stone outside the Mahadvaram of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple	Vadagalai namam clearly visible.
2) Bhakta vigraha facing the east ~~sannidhi~~ fixed inside the Mahadvara to the west	Vadagalai namam -
3) Sri Anjaneya & Garuda vigrahas in the centre of the wooden Dhvajastamba	——— Do ———
4) The following Prathimas in the pillars in Navaranga-mantapam Lakshmi narasimha Ranganatha Rama Srinivasa Yoga narasimha Kalinga Venugopala	——— Do ———
5) ~~Dodda~~ Big Garuda, small Garuda and coloured Garudas	——— Do —

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

6) Pattabhi Rama, Lakshmana & Sita devi & Prathimas on both sides of the entrance in the minor temples ~~xxx~~ surrounding the temple	Vadakalai namam -
7) The Bhakta vigraha in the pillar in front of the temple of the minor female Deity -	—— Do ——
8) The Sri Gunja Narasimha-Swami Moola vigraha, the Dwarapalakas of this Sannidhi, the Anjaneya & Vishvaksena vigrahas near by-	—— Do ——
The Utsava vigraha of Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami	Tilaka is worn -
9) The following vigrahas ~~in~~ situated towards the south.	Vadakalai namam
Sri Chakravarayaswami	
" ~~Ra~~ Ramadevaru	
" Lakshmanadevaru &c	
" Srinivasadevaru	

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sri Thandava Krishna
" Varadaraja Swami
" Vedantachar
Inside the temple of the major female deity :——
Sri Anjaneya devaru
" Dwarapalakas on both sides
" Kolalugopalaswami
towards the west
The two Dwarapalakas

Sri Nammalvar
Ramanujachar

} Vadakalai namam =

10) Vigraha in a sitting posture with folded hands, 1 foot + 4 in in height, inside the shrine of Vishvaksenar —
The Vadakalés say that it is a Markandeya vigraha
The Tenkalés say that it is Periyar vigraha.

——— Do ———

NB —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

11) At the top of the entrance to the Garbhagriha	Vadakalai namam -

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form